

## Excellent Performance of INDICAID<sup>TM</sup> Antigen Rapid Diagnostic Test on **COVID-19 Clinical Samples with Moderate-high Viral-Loads during the Omicron Epidemiological Wave in Cameroon**

FOKAM J<sup>1,2,3</sup>, **TAKOU D<sup>1</sup>**, NGOUFACK E<sup>1,5,6</sup>, DJUPSA S<sup>1</sup>, Grace BELOUMOU<sup>1</sup>, Collins CHENWI<sup>1,7</sup>, Alex Durand NKA<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Davy Hyacinthe ANGUECHIA GOUISSI<sup>1,6</sup>, Aude Christelle KA'E<sup>1,5</sup>, Aurelie KENGNI<sup>1</sup>, Naomi-Karell ETAME<sup>1</sup>, Larissa MOKO<sup>1,6</sup>, Evariste MOLIMBOU<sup>1</sup>, Willy PABO<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Audrey NAYANG MUNDO<sup>1</sup>, Michel TOMMO<sup>1</sup>, Nnomo Zam K<sup>1</sup>, Nadine FAINGUEM<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Aissatou ABBA<sup>1</sup>, Lionele MBA FOTSING<sup>1</sup>, Leaticia Yatchou<sup>1</sup>,OTSHUDIEMA OTOKOYIE J<sup>7</sup>, DJUBGANG DJOUKWE RE<sup>8</sup>, OKOMO ASSOUMOU MC<sup>9,10</sup>, Yap BOUM III<sup>3,10,11</sup>, MBALLA ETOUNDI GA<sup>3,12</sup>, Alexis NDJOLO<sup>1,10</sup>



1. Chantal BIYA International Reference Centre for research on HIV/AIDS prevention and management, Yaoundé, Cameroon; 2. Faculty of health sciences, University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon; 3.National Public Health Emergency Operations Centre, Ministry of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon; 4.Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I Yaounde, Cameroon; 5. University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy; 6. Faculty of Science and Technology, Evangelic University of Cameroon, Bandjoun, Cameroon; 7. World Health Organisation, Afro, Country office, Yaounde, Cameroon; 8. Directorate for Pharmacy, Drug and Laboratory, Ministry of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon; 9. National Public Health Laboratory, Yaounde, Cameroon; 10. Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical sciences, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon; 11. Epicentre, Medecins Sans Frontieres, Yaounde, Cameroon; 12.Department of Disease, Epidemic and Pandemic Control, Ministry of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon.



### BACKGROUND

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS**

World Health Organisation The recommends the use of COVID-19 antigen rapid diagnostic tests (AgRDT) with at least 80% sensitivity and 97% specificity. In the era of Omicron variants harbouring several mutations in the viral genes, we sought to ascertain of **INDICAID**<sup>TM</sup> the performance **COVID-19 AgRDT** with reference to PCR.

### **OBJECTIVES**

1)Evaluate the sensitivity and the specificity of INDICAID<sup>TM</sup> AgRDT

2)Evaluate the positive (PPV) and the negative predictive values (NPV) of INDICAID<sup>TM</sup> AgRDT

A total of 565 nasopharyngeal samples were tested from individuals aged ≥21 years (53.98% males), all residing in Yaoundé and its surroundings,

Overall positivity rate was 5.66%(32) with RT-PCR versus 1.24%(7) with INDICAID<sup>™</sup> COVID-19 AgRDT.

#### Table1:

Comparison of PCR vs. RDT considering a PCR positivity threshold of 37 (national positivity threshold)

		Positive	Negative	
INDICAID	POSITIVE	07	00	07
	NEGATIVE	25	533	558
		32	533	Total: 565

PCR Positivity at CT<37

At PCR CT<37, (mean CT =  $33.1\pm3.86$ ), sensitivity of INDICAID<sup>TM</sup> AgRDT was 21.9%(95%CI: [12.5 - 21.9]); specificity was 100% (95%CI: [99.4 - 100]); kappa = 0.346 (95%CI: [0.189 – 0.346]), suggesting a poor concordance between PCR and rapid test. PPV was 100% (95%CI: [57.3 – 100]) and NPV was 95.5% (95%CI: [95 – 95.5]). Tabl2: Comparison of PCR vs. RDT considering a PCR positvity threshold of 25 (moderate to high viral loads)

3)Evaluate		turn-around-tin	ne of				
INDICAID <sup>TM</sup> AgRDT							
4)	Evaluate	the genetic	diversity				
and its e	effect on	INDICAIDTM	AgRDT				
performance.							

# METHODS

laboratory-based observational •An study was conducted from March to 2022 consenting August among individuals tested for SARS-COV2 infection at the Virology laboratory of Chantal BIYA International the Reference Centre, Yaoundé-Cameroon. Nasopharyngeal samples were processed both on INDICAID<sup>™</sup> COVID-19 AgRDT and on DaAn Gene realtime PCR as per national guidelines. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and predictive negative values Of **INDICAID**<sup>TM</sup> COVID-19 RDT were evaluated according to viral load.

		PC	PCR positivity at CT<25	
		Positive	Negative	
INDICAID	POSITIVE	05	02	07
	NEGATIVE	00	558	558
		05	560	Total: 565
CD CT - 25	(moon CT -	$-212 \pm 221$	concitivity was	100% (05%) - 151

At PCR CT<25, (mean CT = 21.2 ± 2.34), sensitivity was 100% (95%CI : [51.3 – 100.0]); specificity was 99.6% (95% CI: [99.2 – 99.6]); kappa = 0.832 ([95%CI : 0.422-0.832]), suggesting an excellent concordance between PCR and rapid test. PPV was 71.4% (95% CI : [36.7 – 71.4]) and NPV was 97.7% (95% CI: [97.1 – 97.7]).

Importantly, COVID-19 sequences generated were 100% OMICRON, sub-variant BA.1.

### Conclusions

For patients infected with **moderate-high viral loads**, INDICAID<sup>TM</sup> AgRDT has high intrinsic (sensitivity and specificity) and extrinsic (predictive values) performances for the diagnosis of COVID-19. With its simplicity and short turnaround-time (15±2min), INDICAID<sup>™</sup> COVID-19 AgRDT is a reliable tool to prevent the spread of COVI-19 at community-level, even on circulating Omicron variants.

### **Recommendations**

Wide use of INDICAID<sup>™</sup> COVID-19 AgRDT for rapid diagnosis of asymptomatic cases with moderate to high viral loads.

Acknowledgements

All the study participants CIRCB staff & management EDCTP (RIA2020-EF3000)













